Student:				School:					
				DOB:					
Primary home language indicated by the parent:			Language in which the student is most proficient:						
	Evaluation/Reevaluation			LEA ✓ Line Item		I-O-U	Description		
LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description		II.A.4		Eligibility considerations		
	II.A.1		Current evaluation				Student assessed in all areas related to the		
	II.A.2		Review of existing data	_		_	suspected disability	lity (including academic,	
			Current information provided by the parents				behavior, current vision and hearing status) and for preschool, a CDA (indicate areas		
			Current classroom-based assessments				that have not been	•	
			Teachers and related service providers observation(s), including pre-referral interventions				□ Vision □ Hearing □ Academics □ Cognitive	☐ Social/behaviora☐ Communications☐ Assistive tech.☐ Motor skills	
			Statewide assessments	_		_	☐ Adaptive		
	II.A.3		Team determination of need for additional data				Impact of the disability on performance in educational setting and how progress in general curriculum is affected by the disability		
			Team determined that existing data were sufficient or determined that additional data were needed				Educational needs t	o access the general og assistive technology	
			For reevaluation only, parents were informed of reason and right to request data				For reevaluations, if any additions or modifications to the special education services are needed for the student to progress in the general curriculum		
			reevaluation only, documented efforts to						
		obtain consent				The impact of any educational disadvantage			
							The impact of Engli progress in general	sh language learning on curriculum	
СОММІ	ENTS:								

LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
			Team determined the student has a specific category of disability				MDSSI—documents multiple disabilities that include at least one of the following: VI or HI
			Team determined the student needs special				OHI—verification by a doctor of medicine
			education and related services Assessments and other evaluation materials				OI—verification by a doctor of medicine
Ц		Ц	are administered in a language and form most likely to yield accurate information				PSD—documents more than 3.0 SD below the mean in one or more areas
			A —documents a developmental disability				SLI—documents a communication disorder
			that significantly affects verbal and nonverbal communication and social interaction				SLD—documents a significant discrepancy between achievement and ability in one of
			DD—documents at least 1.5 SD and no more				the identified areas or failure to respond to intervention (RTI)
			than 3.0 SD below the mean in two or more areas for a child who is at least 3 years of age, but under 10 years of age				SLD—certifies that each team member agrees or disagrees
			ED—verification by a psychologist or psychiatrist				SLD—documents determination of effects of environmental, cultural, or economic
			HI —verification by an audiologist				disadvantage SID—documents performance at least 4 SD
			HI—documents the language proficiency of	Ц		Ц	below the mean
			the student MIID—documents performance on standard				TBI—verification by a doctor of medicine
Ц		Ц	measures between 2 and 3 SD below the mean				VI—verification by an ophthalmologist
			MOID—documents performance on standard measures between 3 and 4 SD below the mean				VI—documents the results of an individualized Braille assessment for a student who is considered blind
			MD—documents a learning and developmental problem resulting from multiple disabilities				
СОММЕ	NTS:						

LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
	II.A.5		For initial evaluation, the student was evaluated within 60 calendar days # of days over:Reason:		III.A.4		Individualized services to be provided
							Special education services to be provided
							Consideration of related services
	In	dividual	ized Education Program				Consideration of supplementary aids, services, program modifications
	III.A.1		Current IEP (date:)				Location of services and adaptations
	III.A.2		IEP review/revision and participants				Consideration of supports for school personnel
			IEP reviewed/revised annually (previous date:)				Consideration of the need for extended school year
			IEP team meeting included required participants (if "no" indicate missing members)				Extent to which student will not participate with non-disabled peers
			☐ Parent ☐ LEA Representative ☐ Gen Ed Teacher ☐ Test Results		III.A.5		Other considerations
_			☐ Special Ed Teacher Interpreter				Consideration of strategies/supports to address behavior that impedes student's
	III.A.3		General required components of IEP are included				learning or that of others
			IEP has PLAAFP (refer to guide steps)				Consideration of individual accommodations in testing, if appropriate
			Measurable annual goals related to PLAAFP				Consideration of communication needs of the student
			Documentation of eligibility for alternate assessment, if appropriate				Consideration of assistive technology devices and service needs
			For students eligible for alternate assessments only, short-term instructional objectives or benchmarks				For ELL students, consideration of language needs related to the IEP
			Current progress report includes progress toward goals				For HI students, consideration of the child's language and communication needs
СОММЕ	ENTS:						

LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description	LEA ✓	Line Item	I-O-U	Description
_	Secondary Transition Line Items (III.A.6 & III.A.7)				III.A.7		Documentation of additional postsecondary transition components
	III.A.6		For students 16 years of age or older, documentation of required postsecondary components				By age 17, a statement of rights to transfer at age 18
			Measurable postsecondary goals □ No evidence of goals □ Goal content not postsecondary □ Not measurable □ Required goal areas not addressed		III.A.8		IEP reflects student educational needs Reason for "O" call ☐ No link between evaluation and IEP ☐ PLAAFP—all student needs not addressed ☐ IEP goals not aligned with needs
			Measurable postsecondary goals updated annually				☐ Postsecondary transition components not addressed
			Documentation of annual IEP goal(s) that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goal(s)				
			Documentation of one or more transition services/activities that support the postsecondary goal(s)				
			Evidence that a representative of another agency that is likely to provide and/or pay for transition services has been invited to the meeting when parent consent is obtained				
			Documentation that the postsecondary goals were derived from age-appropriate assessment(s)				
			The student's course of study supports the identified postsecondary goal(s)				
			Documentation that the student was invited to meeting				
СОММЕ	ENTS:						

	Procedural Safeguards/Parental Participation				Line Item	I-O-U	Description
LEA ✓	Line Item IV.A.1	I-O-U	Description Notices provided at required times and in a				For PWN, if the notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, a statement of how a copy of procedural safeguards can be obtained
			language and form that is understandable to the parent				For PWN, sources to obtain assistance in understanding notice
			Procedural safeguards notice provided to parents within the last 12 months		IV.A.3		Discipline procedures and requirements followed
			All required notices provided in a language that is: 1. the native language of the parent				Notified parent on the same date the disciplinary decision was made
	IV.A.2		 understandable to public PWN provided at required times and contains required components 				If the IEP team determined that behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability, a FBA was conducted and a BIP implemented o
			PWN provided to parents at required times in the last 12 months				if already in place, a BIP reviewed and modified, as necessary
			For PWN, description of action proposed or refused by LEA				If a change in placement occurred, the IEP team conducted a review within 10 school days to determine the relationship between
			For PWN, explanation of why the agency proposed or refused to take the action				the student's disability and behavior If the IEP team determined that behavior was
			For PWN, description of any options considered and why options were rejected			_	a manifestation of the student's disability, the student was returned to placement from which the student was removed, unless
			For PWN, description of evaluation procedures, tests, records used as a basis for the decision				parent and LEA agreed to a change of placement
			For PWN, description of any other relevant factors				For suspension or IAES, student continued to be provided FAPE, including services and adaptations described in the IEP
СОММ	ENTS:						
				<u> </u>			